Synthetic Studies on the Ginkgolides: Total Synthesis of (±)-Bilobalide

Michael T. Crimmins,* David K. Jung, and Jeffrey L. Gray

Contribution from the Venable and Kenan Laboratories of Chemistry. The University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3290

Received November 12, 1992

Abstract: Two syntheses of the C15 ginkgolide, bilobalide, are presented. One approach results in a formal synthesis by intersecting an intermediate in the Corey synthesis, while a second approach results in a completed total synthesis which is considerably shorter than the first. Both approaches rely on a stereoselective intramolecular [2 + 2] photocycloaddition to control much of the stereochemistry. A diastereoselective aldol condensation serves to establish the relative stereochemical relationship between the secondary and tertiary alcohols in the second approach. This circumvents problems of incorporation of the tertiary carbinol which were encountered in both previous approaches.

Ginkgo biloba, the "fossil tree", appeared on earth approximately 300 million years ago and flourished during the Jurassic period. It survives today because of its strong resistance to disease and insects and its remarkable regenerative abilities. The extracts of this plant have been used as a therapeutic agent in Chinese folk medicine for 5000 years and are now marketed in Europe to treat cerebrovascular and peripheral circulatory problems in the elderly.² A number of structurally unusual compounds including the C20 hexacyclic trilactone ginkgolides 1a-c and the C15

tetracyclic trilactone bilobalide 2 have been isolated from extracts of the ginkgo. The C20 ginkgolides A, B, and C were first isolated by Furakawa, and their structures were independently solved by two groups.3 Bilobalide was isolated much later and its structure was determined spectroscopically by Nakanishi.4

Synthetic efforts on the ginkgolides to date have resulted in the total synthesis of (±)-bilobalide⁵ and (-)-bilobalide⁶ by the Corey group as well as the total synthesis of ginkgolides A7 and B⁸ and several analogs⁹ including des-tert-butylginkgolide B.¹⁰

Scheme I

MeO₂C
$$\xrightarrow{H}$$
 \xrightarrow{O} \xrightarrow{C} \xrightarrow{C}

Herein is described two approaches to the synthesis of bilobalide each relying on a stereoselective intramolecular [2 + 2] photocycloaddition as a key step. 12,13

The initial strategy for the synthesis was to carry out a regioselective Baeyer-Villiger oxidation on cyclobutanone 3 followed by oxidation of the enol ether and methyl acetal moieties to produce bilobalide. The conversion of photoadduct 4 to cyclobutanone 3 would require cleavage of the cyclopentanone ring, oxidation of the cyclobutane carbon, and reductive opening of the epoxide. The photocycloaddition of enone 5 was predicted to proceed with the stereoselectivity shown based on model studies. The photosubstrate 5 would be prepared by a conjugate addition cyclization of the Kuwajima-Nakamura homoenolate on acetylenic ester 6. The stereochemistry of ester 6 would be controlled by a directed Sharpless epoxidation on allylic alcohol 7 which could be derived from commercially available 3-furaldehyde.

Model Studies on the Stereoselectivity of the Photocycloaddition Reaction. Prior to beginning the synthesis, model studies were undertaken to determine what level of stereoselectivity might be

⁽¹⁾ Chamberlain, C. J. In Gymnosperms: Structure and Evolution; University of Chicago Press: Chicago, 1933. Benson, L. In Plant Classi-

fication: 2nd ed.; D.C. Heath and Co.: Toronto, 1979.

(2) Braquet, P. Drugs Future 1987, 12, 643.

(3) Furukawa, S. Sci. Papers Inst. Phys. Chem. Res., Tokyo 1932, 19, 27.

Nakanishi, K. Pure Appl. Chem. 1967, 14, 89. Okabe, K.; Yamada, K.; Yamamura, S. Takada, S. J. Chem. Soc. C 1967, 2201. Sakabe, N.; Takada, S.; Okabe, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1967, 257.

⁽⁴⁾ Nakanishi, K.; Habguchi, K.; Nakadaira, Y.; Woods, M. C.; Maruyama, M.; Major, R. T.; Alauddin, M.; Patel, A. R.; Weinges, K.; Bahr, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 3544.

⁽⁵⁾ Corey, E. J.; Su, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7534. (6) Corey, E. J.; Su, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 3423.

⁽⁷⁾ Corey, E. J.; Ghosh, A. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 29, 3205.
(8) Corey, E. J.; Kang, M. C.; Desai, M. C.; Ghosh, A. K.; Houpis, I. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 649. Corey, E. J.; Gavai, A. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 3201.

⁽⁹⁾ Corey, E. J.; Gavai, A. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 6559.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Corey, E. J.; Rao, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 4623.

⁽¹¹⁾ A communication has appeared describing one of these approaches: Crimmins, M. T.; Jung, D. K.; Gray, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 1/4, 5445. (12) For a review on intramolecular [2 + 2] photocycloadditions see: Crimmins, M. T. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 1453. Crimmins, M. T.; Rheinhold,

T. L. Org. React., in press.

⁽¹³⁾ For a previous example of an enone-furan photocycloaddition see: Crimmins, M. T.; Thomas, J. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5997.

Scheme II

Scheme III

expected in the crucial photocycloaddition reaction. Two model substrates 8 (Scheme II) and 9 (Scheme III) were prepared to determine the directing effect of substituents in the two allylic positions on the tethering chain. Enone 8 was prepared from 3-furaldehyde as shown in Scheme II. Condensation of 3-furaldehyde with (carbethoxymethylene)triphenylphosphorane followed by reaction with $t\text{-Bu}_2\text{CuCNLi}_2^{+4}$ and reduction of the ester with lithium aluminum hydride gave alcohol 10 in 90% overall yield. Treatment of the alcohol with CBr4 and triphenylphosphine in acetonitrile produced 85% of bromide 11. Addition of the Grignard reagent derived from bromide 11 to acetylenic diester 12 in the presence of copper iodide produced the unsaturated ester 13.15 Exposure of the ester 13 to LDA in THF at -78 °C gave the photosubstrate 8 in 51% yield.

Enone 9 was prepared from 3-furylpropanal¹³ (Scheme III) by addition of the lithium acetylide of ethyl propiolate to the aldehyde to give acetylenic ester 14. Treatment of the corresponding trimethylsilyl ether of 14 with zinc homoenolate 15 provided the photosubstrate 9 in good yield. 16

Irradiation of 9 produced a single diastereomeric photoadduct 16 as evidenced by its conversion to the acetal 17. If the isomeric product had resulted, the cyclic acetal could not form since it would produce a trans [3.3.0] ring system. The major product is produced when the reaction proceeds through a transitionstate conformation similar to 18 which is of lower energy ($\Delta\Delta G$ ≈ 1.6 kcal/mol based on MM2 calculations) than the diastereomeric transition state 19. In contrast, the energies of conformations 20 and 21 for the photocycloaddition of 8 are very similar $(\Delta \Delta G \approx 0.2 \text{ kcal/mol})$, and the result is very poor stereoselectivity in the photocycloaddition: 1.5:1 22a:22b. This would imply that if two stereogenic centers were incorporated into the tether as in

Scheme IV

enone 5 which is required for the projected synthesis of bilobalide, the trimethylsilyloxy group should be the primary controlling factor for the stereochemistry of the photocycloaddition and the major product should be photoadduct 4.

Preparation of the Photosubstrate. With the stereoselectivity of the photocycloaddition fairly well assured, the synthesis of bilobalide was initiated. The first phase of the synthesis required the efficient preparation of the photosubstrate and began again from 3-furaldehyde. Reaction of 3-furaldehyde with Corey-Fuchs reagent¹⁷ produced a 99% yield of the dibromoolefin 23. Treatment of unstable dibromide 23 with butyllithium effected elimination to the lithium acetylide which was trapped in situ with methyl chloroformate to provide acetylenic ester 24 in 76% yield. The tert-butyl group was next incorporated utilizing Henrick's mixed cuprate, t-BuMeCuLi, 18 to give the Z-alkene 25 upon quenching at low temperature. Only the Z-isomer could be detected by NMR. Conversion of the ester 25 to the alcohol 26 was executed by reduction with diisobutylaluminum hydride. Swern oxidation followed by addition of the lithium acetylide of ethyl propiolate to the aldehyde produced the allylic alcohol 7 in 90% yield. The Sharpless-directed epoxidation of 7 proceeded with extremely high regioselectivity and stereoselectivity. The furan activates the alkene while the ester deactivates the acetylene toward oxidation with the electrophilic reagent. The stereoselectivity can be attributed to the preference for the allylic hydrogen (rather than the acetylenic ester) to be in the plane of the alkene in the transition state of the oxidation. The result was that a single isomeric product 6 was detectable by 'H NMR. The synthesis of the photosubstrate was completed by treatment of the hydroxy acetylenic ester with 4 equiv of the homoenolate prepared from zinc chloride and ethoxy[(trimethylsilyl)oxy]-

⁽¹⁴⁾ Lipshutz, B. H.; Sengupta, S. Org. React. 1992, 41, 135.
(15) Crimmins, M. T.; Mascarella, S. W.; DeLoach, J. A. J. Org. Chem.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Crimmins, M. T.; Nantermet, P. G. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4235.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Corey, E. J.; Fuchs, P. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 3769.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Henrick, C. A.; Anderson, C. A.; Corbin, V. L.; Cotterrell, G. R.; Schaub, F.; Sidall, J. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 1197.

Scheme V

cyclopropane. This effects in situ protection of the hydroxyl as the TMS ether followed by conjugate addition—cyclization to produce 52% of enone 5.16

Cycloaddition of the Photosubstrate and Modification of the Photoadduct. Enone 5 was irradiated as a solution in hexanes at >350 nm (uranium glass filter) to produce a 69% yield of a single diastereomeric photoadduct 4 after chromatography. While the stereochemical result of the Sharpless epoxidation occuld be made with some confidence based on literature precedent, the occuping the photoadduct 4 further solidified the assignment. The coupling constant between the protons on C2 and C3 (bilobalide numbering) were very similar to that observed in an intermediate in the Corey synthesis. The relative stereochemistry of the (trimethylsilyl)oxy group follows from the model studies and from further transformations.

The next task was to cleave the cyclopentanone ring of the photoadduct and to convert the cyclobutane carbon bearing the two carbonyl functionalities into a carbonyl group. Conversion of the ketone carbonyl of photoadduct 4 to its corresponding silvlenol ether followed by Rubottom oxidation²⁰ gave a mixture of the epoxy enol ether and the α -siloxy ketone. This mixture was treated directly with PPTS in THF-H₂O to provide 82% of the diol 27 from the photoadduct. Oxidative cleavage of the α -hydroxy ketone with sodium periodate gave the hemiacetal 28 in quantitative yield. The hemiacetal was readily converted to acetal 29 by exposure to MeOH, p-toluenesulfonic acid, and trimethyl orthoformate. The failure of the enol ether to react under these conditions may be due to the steric interference of the tert-butyl group but is more likely a result of a thermodynamic preference for sp² hybridization at C6 to minimize nonbonded interactions with the tert-butyl group. The low reactivity of this enol ether in other acidic reactions was also noted.

Several approaches were investigated to convert the dicarboalkoxycyclobutane into a cyclobutanone. A carboxy inversion reaction²¹ was attempted on the acid ester, but this failed to give any recognizable products. The ester was hydrolyzed to the diacid in an effort to effect an oxidative decarboxylation with Pb(OAc)₄²² but the oxidation gave a mixture of several products. The acid ester could be readily decarboxylated in toluene at 110 °C to provide ester 30 (96% yield). Attempts to use the enolate of the ester in hydroxylation reactions or to trap the enolate as a silyl enol ether led to elimination of the β -oxygen. Ultimately, the ester was reduced to the primary alcohol 31 with lithium aluminum hydride. The epoxide moiety was unaffected by the reduction

Scheme VI

^a Key: (a) PhCH₃, 110 °C; (b) LiAlH₄, Et₂O; (c) o-NO₂C₆H₄SeCN, Bu₃P, THF; (d) H₂O₂, CH₂Cl₂; (e) OsO₄, NaIO₄, THF, H₂O; (f) H₂O₂, Triton B, THF, -78 °C; (g) dimethyldioxirane, acetone.

indicating that it would not be possible to reductively open the epoxide at a late stage of the synthesis. This is consistent with the difficulties encountered in the Corey synthesis^{5,6} with regard to manipulation of the epoxide. The primary alcohol was converted to the methylenecyclobutane 32 by elimination of the selenide prepared under Grieco's conditions.²³ Cleavage of the exocyclic double bond to produce the desired cyclobutanone 33 was accomplished in 70% yield with OsO₄ and NaIO₄. When the cyclobutanone 33 was treated with t-BuOOH, Triton B in dichloromethane at 0 °C a 1:1 mixture of lactones 34:35 was produced. The product ratio was changed to 1:434:35 at -78 °C and to 1:5 when the more sterically demanding Ph₃COOH was utilized at 0 °C. Since a more sterically hindered peroxide caused an increase in the undesired isomer, the smaller H2O2 was tested in an effort to reverse the selectivity. When the cyclobutanone 33 was exposed to H_2O_2 and Triton B at -78 °C in THF a >97:3 mixture of 34:35 was obtained. Exposure of lactone 34 to dimethyldioxirane²⁴ in acetone provided bis-epoxide 36 which was spectroscopically identical to an intermediate in the Corey synthesis thus constituting a formal synthesis of bilobalide. The bis-epoxide 36 was converted to bilobalide 2 by Corey in 10 steps.5 This completed formal synthesis had two major problems: the circuitous route required to convert the photoadduct into the desired cyclobutanone and the problem also common to the Corey synthesis: the failure of the epoxide to react under reductive conditions requiring a lengthy alternative route to introduce the tertiary carbinol.

We thus set out to develop an alternate approach to bilobalide which would establish the tertiary carbinol center at an early stage of the synthesis and allow for a more direct conversion of the photoadduct to the cyclobutanone needed to execute the Baeyer-Villiger oxidation. The logical choice for a photosubstrate would be enone 37 which already incorporates the two necessary carbinol stereogenic centers on the tether and contains an α -alkoxy enone rather than the previous α -carbethoxy enone. This would avoid the problematic epoxide opening and should facilitate the

⁽¹⁹⁾ Sharpless, K. B.; Verhoeven, T. R. Aldrichim. Acta 1979, 12, 63. (20) Rubottom, G. M.; Vazquez, M. A.; Pelegrina, D. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 4319.

⁽²¹⁾ Denney, D. B.; Sherman, N. J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 3760.

⁽²²⁾ Tufariello, J. J.; Kissel, W. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1966, 6145.

⁽²³⁾ Grieco, P. A.; Gilman, S.; Nishizawa, M. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 42, 1485.

⁽²⁴⁾ Adam, W.; Curci, R.; Edwards, J. O. Acc. Chem. Res. 1989, 22, 205. Murray, R. W. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1187.

conversion of the photoadduct to the required cyclobutanone. This approach would require the preparation and irradiation of a 2-alkoxy-3-alkenylcyclopentenone. Enone 37 might be prepared by the aldol condensation of the enolate of ketone 39 with aldehyde 38.

Intramolecular photocycloadditions of 2-(alkenyloxy)cyclopentenones have been studied by Pirrung²⁵ but no examples of 3-alkenyl-2-alkoxycyclopentenones have been reported. It seemed prudent to test a simple system in the photocycloaddition prior to construction of the required complex photosubstrate. Silylation of 1,2-cyclopentanedione²⁶ produced enol ether 39. Addition of 3-furylpropanal to the enolate of ketone 39 gave the aldol product as a mixture of diastereomers. Various protecting groups were employed on the enol hydroxyl and the secondary hydroxyl in an attempt to identify the best combination for efficiency and stereoselectivity in the photocycloaddition reaction. The photocycloaddition reaction was found to be significantly enhanced by the use of acyl protecting groups on the enol hydroxyl. The best combination for both synthesis of the photosubstrate and the photocycloaddition was the enol pivalate and the secondary trimethylsilyl ether. Irradiation of enone 40 in hexanes gave a single diastereomeric photoadduct 41.

Preparation of the Photosubstrate. The aldehyde 38 could be readily prepared from the allylic alcohol 42 which had been used in the first approach. Sharpless epoxidation 19 of the allylic alcohol followed by reduction with lithium aluminum hydride provided the diol 43 which was oxidized under Swern conditions to give the desired aldehyde 38. This approach required seven steps,

however, and a shorter route was investigated. Addition of t-BuCeCl₂²⁷ to 3-furaldehyde provided the secondary alcohol which was oxidized under Swern conditions. Addition of the ketone to a solution of lithioacetonitrile28 gave an 85% overall yield of the β -hydroxynitrile 44. Exposure of the nitrile to diisobutylaluminum hydride generated the desired aldehyde 38 after acidic workup. Addition of aldehyde 38 to 2 equiv of the lithium enolate of ketone 39 produced a 1:1 mixture of two Scheme VII

diastereomers 45. This mixture was of no consequence since the compounds were diastereomeric at the carbon adjacent to the ketone carbonyl and both isomers had the desired anti relationship between the hydroxyl on the tether. This was determined when the mixture of diastereomers was treated with aqueous KF to hydrolyze the silvlenol ether followed by rearrangement of the enol to the more highly substituted double bond by exposure to triethylamine. In practice, the sequence was carried out without isolation of the enols; the more highly substituted enol was acylated in situ with pivaloyl chloride to give a single enol pivalate 46. It is noteworthy that no β -elimination was detected when the intermediate enols were handled carefully and used immediately in the subsequent reaction. Silvlation of the secondary hydroxyl completed the preparation of the photosubstrate 37 in 50% yield from the aldehyde 38. The stereoselectivity in the aldol condensation can be rationalized through addition of the enolate to a six-membered ring chelate 47 in which the lithium alkoxide is coordinated to the aldehyde with the tert-butyl group occupying a pseudoequatorial position on the ring. Attack from the sterically more accessible face leads to the anti diol as observed for both diastereomers.

Conversion of the Photosubstrate to the Cyclobutanone. With an efficient, stereoselective synthesis of the photosubstrate in hand, the photoaddition was carried out to give a mixture of three products in 80% yield. The major product obtained in 50% isolated yield was the desired photoadduct 48 which results from cycloaddition through the transition state with both the (trimethylsilyl)oxy and the tert-butyl group occupying pseudoequatorial positions on the developing five-membered ring. About

⁽²⁵⁾ Pirrung, M. C.; Chang, V. K.; DeAmicis, C. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5824

⁽²⁶⁾ Tonari, K.; Machiya, K.; Ichimoto, I.; Ueda, H. Agr. Biol. Chem. 1980, 44, 2135.

⁽²⁷⁾ Immamoto, T.; Takiyama, N.; Nakmura, K.; Hatajima, T.; Kamiya, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 4392.

⁽²⁸⁾ Cuvigny, T.; Hullot, P.; Larcheveque, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973,

5% of the other diastereomer 50 was also obtained. The third product (about 25%) was the unexpected photoadduct 49 in which the [2+2] photoaddition had occurred between the enone and the less substituted furan double bond. This is the only enone-furan photoaddition, of the 10 to 15 which we have carried out, in which we have observed a photoadduct of this type. Attempts to improve this ratio by variation of the protecting groups on the enol or the secondary hydroxyl or employing different solvents or wavelengths have been unsuccessful.

The major product was readily separated and taken on in the synthesis. Hydroxylation of the photoadduct 48 by treatment with LDA and MoOPH²⁹ in THF provided the hydroxy ketone 51 in 80% yield. Cleavage of the cyclopentane ring with lead tetraacetate in methanol-benzene gave the aldehyde 52 which was directly converted to the acetal 53 (85% for two steps). The cyclobutanone carbonyl was then introduced in two steps by LiAlH₄ reduction of the two esters to give 1,2-diol 54 which was oxidatively cleaved with lead tetraacetate in benzene at 50 °C, 80% overall yield. This five-step conversion of the photoadduct to the desired cyclobutanone 3 was a significant improvement to the previous route in which 10 operations were required for a similar transformation.

Completion of the Synthesis. With ready access to the cyclobutanone (13 steps) and our previous experience with the Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of cyclobutanone 33, the completion of the synthesis seemed imminent. However, exposure of cyclobutanone 3 to the conditions which gave the best selectivity for cyclobutanone 33 (H₂O₂, Triton B, THF, -78 °C) resulted in the exclusive formation of the undesired lactone 55. Different

solvents or bulkier peroxides had little or no effect on the selectivity. The original hope for the selectivity in this oxidation was that the oxygen-substituted carbon would migrate preferentially based on electronic grounds. We reasoned that perhaps stereoelectronic factors were overriding any electronic preference and that a more electrophilic reagent might favor migration based on electronic factors. When cyclobutanone 3 was exposed to m-CPBA in dichloromethane for 5 min the desired lactone 56 was the sole product. The enol ether was unreactive to these conditions even after extended reaction times. While the reasons for the dramatic change in selectivity are unclear, the result allowed the completion of the synthesis. The final stage of the synthesis required the oxidation of the acetal moiety to the lactone and conversion of the enol ether to an α -hydroxy lactone. While various scenarios can be envisioned, this sequence was best accomplished by selectively oxidizing the acetal and then operating on the enol ether. Exposure of lactone 56 to Jones reagent in refluxing acetone produced the dilactone 57 in 95% yield. The low reactivity of the enol ether was again apparent when the highly reactive dimethyldioxirane²⁴ required 24 h to effect oxidation. This stable epoxy enol ether was immediately oxidized with Jones reagent at room temperature for 20 min to produce synthetic (±)bilobalide 2 which was spectrally identical to a sample of natural bilobalide.³⁰ This approach required 17 synthetic steps from 3-furaldehyde which is considerably shorter than our previous approach. The stereoselective aldol condensation to establish the stereogenic carbinol centers on the tether of the photosubstrate, the stereoselective photocycloaddition, the regioselective Baeyer-

Scheme VIII

Villiger oxidation, and selective oxidation of the acetal and enol ether epoxides are noteworthy.

Experimental Section

Materials and Methods. All reagents were used as purchased from Aldrich Chemicals Co. unless otherwise indicated. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether were dried by distillation from sodium benzophenone ketyl immediately prior to use. Methylene chloride, triethylamine, diisopropylamine, and trimethylsilyl chloride were dried by distillation from calcium hydride immediately prior to use. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPA) were dried by refluxing over calcium hydride at reduced pressure followed by distillation and storage over 4-Å molecular sieves. Chromatography was performed according to the method of Still using silica gel with an average mesh of 40 μ m. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 200 and 250 MHz on a Varian AC-200 spectrometer and a Bruker WM-250 spectrometer, respectively. All chemical shifts are reported as δ values in parts per million relative to the chloroform peak set at 7.24 ppm, if the solvent was CDC1₃, or the center peak set at 2.04 ppm, if the solvent was acetone- d^6 . ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz on a Varian XL-400 spectrometer. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Beckman IR-4210. Microanalyses were performed by Atlantic Microlabs, Atlanta, GA. Mass spectrometry was performed at the University of North Carolina School of Public Health. All reactions involving air-sensitive or moisture-sensitive processes were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere and in glassware which had been flame dried with continuous nitrogen flushing.

Alcohol 10. A solution of 25 g (0.26 mol) of 3-furaldehyde and 90.48 g (0.26 mol) of (carbethoxymethylene)triphenylphosphorane in 200 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was stirred overnight, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude residue was washed repeatedly with methylbutane, and the combined extracts were cooled and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was chromatographed to provide 40.56 g (94%) of unsaturated ester as white crystals, mp 38–38.5 °C; R_f (25% ethyl acetate/hexane) 0.63; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 1.27 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 4.18 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 6.12 (d J = 15.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.53 (m, 1 H), 7.37 (m, 1 H), 7.53 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.60 (m, 1 H); IR (CHCl₃) 3115, 2985, 1710, 1665, 1320, 1225, 1160, 918 cm⁻¹; ¹³C NMR (400 MHz) δ 14.33, 60.39, 107.45, 118.06, 122.65, 134.54, 144.39, 144.44, 166.99; HRMS calcd for $C_9H_{10}O_3$ 166.0629, found 166.0627.

To a mechanically stirred suspension of 15 g (0.618 mol) of copper(I) cyanide in 1000 mL of ethyl ether at -78 °C was added dropwise 197.6 mL (0.336 mol) of a 1.7-M solution of t-BuLi in pentane. After addition was complete, the suspension was stirred at -78 °C for 50 min and then warmed to -45 °C for 30 min and recooled to -78 °C. To this suspension was added 20 g of the unsaturated ester above in 200 mL of ether. The

⁽²⁹⁾ Vedejs, E.; Engler, D. A.; Telschow, J. E. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 189. (30) We thank Pierre Branquet and E. J. Corey for providing samples of natural bilobalide for comparison.

resulting bright orange suspension was allowed to stir at -78 °C for 30 min and then at -45 °C for 1 h. Then 83.6 mL (0.6 mol) of triethylamine was added dropwise followed immediately by 76.1 mL (0.6 mol) of trimethylsilyl chloride. The mixture was stirred for 30 min and quenched with saturated NH₄Cl solution. The organic layer was washed sequentially with water, NH4OH, and brine. The organic layer was dried and concentrated, and the residue was taken up in 500 mL of 95% ethanol and treated with 7 g of KF-H₂O and 0.75 g of K₂CO₃. The suspension was stirred for 14 h at 25 °C whereupon the ethanol was removed. The crude product was taken up in ether and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed through a short silica column to provide 26.8 g (100%) of the ester as a pale yellow liquid: R_{ℓ} (25% ethyl acetate/hexanes) 0.57; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 0.91 (s, 9 H), 1.11 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 2.67 (band, 3 H), 4.00 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 6.27 (m, 1 H), 7.20 (m, 1 H),7.33 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1 H); IR 2965, 1740, 1160, 1032, 873 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₃H₂₀O₃ 224.1412, found 224.1410.

To a mechanically stirred suspension of LiAlH4 in 450 mL of ethyl ether was added a solution of the above ester (10 g, 44.64 mmol) in 100 mL of ethyl ether. After the addition was complete, the suspension was stirred for 30 min and then quenched with 5% NaOH solution until a white free-flowing suspension formed. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the salts were washed with ether. The organic layer was dried and concentrated to provide 8.12 g (100%) of alcohol 10 as a clear oil: R_f (25% ethyl acetate/hexanes) 0.27; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 0.88 (s, 9 H), 1.64 (band, 2 H), 1.95 (band, 1 H), 2.35 (dd, J = 1.5, 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.42 (band, 2 H), 6.23 (m, 1 H), 7.17 (m, 1 H), 7.32 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz) δ 27.93, 32.64, 33.06, 43.06, 61.90, 110.93, 125.47, 140.19, 142.32; IR (neat) 3340, 2960, 1035, 870 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₈O₂: C, 72.49; H, 9.95. Found: C, 72.15; H, 9.99.

Bromine 11. Triphenylphosphine, 2.37 g (9.05 mmol), which had been previously dried over P2O5 was dissolved in 30 mL of ether and cooled to 0 °C whereupon 3.00 g (9.05 mmol) of carbon tetrabromide was added in one portion. The heterogenous mixture was stirred for 30 min at 0 °C, and a solution of 823 mg (4.53 mmol) of alcohol 10 in 5 mL of ether was added rapidly. The solution was stirred at 25 °C for 4 h, and then 2 mL of ethanol was added and the mixture stirred for an additional 1 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with cold hexanes, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography of the residue provided 945 mg (85%) of bromide 11 as a clear oil: R_f (25% ethyl acetate/hexanes) 0.79; 1H NMR (200 MHz) δ 0.90 (s, 9 H), 1.97 (band, 1 H), 2.22 (band, 1 H), 2.47 (dd, J = 3.2, 12.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.08 (m, 1 H), 3.36 (m, 1 H), 6.24 (m, 1 H), 7.22 (m, 1 H), 7.36 (t, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H). Anal. Calcdfor C₇H₉BrO: C, 44.47; H, 4.80. Found: C, 44.12; H, 4.77.

Diester 13. A solution of 329 mg (1.34 mmol) of bromide 11 in 10 mL of THF was added to 44 mg (1.82 mmol) of magnesium turnings in 5 mL of THF at a rate to maintain gentle reflux. After addition, the mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h. The mixture was then cooled to 25 °C and cannulated into a mixture of 254 mg (1.36 mmol) of dry copper(I) iodide, 0.23 mL (1.52 mmol) of TMEDA, and 5 mL of THF at -78 °C. This was allowed to stir for 1 h, and 211 mg (1.07 mmol) of dimethyl 5,5-dimethylhex-2-ynedioate (12) in 3 mL of THF was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 75 min at -78 °C whereupon the reactive was quenched with saturated NH4Cl. The mixture was diluted with ether, extracted with water (3 \times 50 mL), dried, and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed to provide 153 mg (32%) of diester 13 as a yellow oil: R_f (25% ethyl acetate/hexanes) 0.63; H NMR (200 MHz) δ 0.86 (s, 9 H), 1.14 (s, 6 H), 1.64 (band, 4 H), 2.12 (dd, J = 1.7, 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.03 (AB, $J_{obs} = 12.7$ Hz, 2 H), 3.52 (s, 3 H), 3.67 (s, 3 H), 5.67 (bs, 1 H), 6.19 (m, 1 H), 7.14 (m, 1 H), 7.34 (t, J = 1.7 Hz, 1 H); lR (neat) 2945, 2872, 1740, 1725, 1642, 1472, 1436, 1368, 1242, 1142, 869 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₇H₂₄O₅ 308.1624, found 308.1617.

Cyclopentenone 8, To a solution of 0.152 mL (1.12 mmol) of diisopropylamine in 12 mL of THF at -78 °C was added 0.448 mL (1.12 mmol) of a 2.5 M solution of n-BuLi in hexanes. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at -78 °C whereupon 153 mg (0.42 mmol) of diester 13 in 3 mL of THF was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 10 min and quenched with saturated NH₄Cl. The mixture was diluted with ether, washed with water, dried, and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed to give 71 mg (51%) of cyclopentenone 8 as a pale yellow oil: R_f (25% ethyl acetate/hexanes) 0.30; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 0.87 (s, 9 H), 1.10 (s, 3 H), 1.30 (s, 3 H), 1.66 (band, 1 H), 1.96 (m, 1 H), 2.21 (dd, J = 2.9, 12.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.56 (band, 4 H), 3.78 (s, 3 H), 6.25 (m, 1 H); 7.18 (m, 1 H), 7.37 (t, J = 1.4 Hz, 1 H); IR (neat) 2960, 1744, 1720, 1625, 1380, 1360, 1212, 1142, 1020 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}O_4$ 276.1361, found 276.1366.

Photoadduct 22. A solution of cyclopentenone 8, 71 mg (0.225 mmol), in 50 mL of 4:1 hexanes/dichloromethane was irradiated with a 450-W mercury vapor lamp through uranium glass for 5 h. The solvent was removed to provide 71 mg (100%) of photoadduct 22 as a 1.5:1 mixture of diastereomers: R_f (25% ethyl acetate/hexanes) 0.42; ¹H NMR (200 MHz), major diastereomers δ 0.93 (s, 9 H), 1.22 (s, 3 H), 1.28 (s, 3 H), 1.76 (band, 6 H), 2.33 (A of AB, $J_{obs} = 13.3$ Hz, 1 H), 3.73 (s, 3 H), 4.98 (s, 1 H), 5.23 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.27 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1 H); IR(neat) 2958, 2872, 1745, 1725, 1600, 1382, 1369, 1240, 1140, 1025, 732 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}O_4$ 276.1361, found 276.1359.

Photoadduct 16. A solution of cyclopentenone 9, 2.65 g (7.8 mmol), in 150 mL of 50:1 hexanes/dichloromethane was irradiated with a 450-W mercury vapor lamp through uranium glass for 6 h. The solvent was removed, and the residue was chromatographed to provide 1.73 g (66%) of photoadduct 16 as a single diastereomer: ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 1.65-2.20 (band, 4 H), 2.52 (ddd, J = 3, 9, 15 Hz, 1 H), 2.91 (ddd, J= 10, 9, 19.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.72 (s, 3 H), 3.99 (t, J = 2 Hz, 1 H), 4.93 (s,1 H), 5.04 (d, J = 3 Hz, 1 H), 6.38 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1 H). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₄O₅Si: C, 60.69; H 7.19. Found: C, 60.53; H, 7.06.

Furan Dibromide 23. A mechanically stirred slurry of 100 mL of methylene chloride and 13.076 g (0.2 mol) of zinc dust was cooled to 0 °C, and a solution of carbon tetrabromide (66.33 g, 0.2 mol) in 100 mL of methylene chloride was added dropwise. A solution of triphenylphosphine (52.46 g, 0.2 mol) in 100 mL of methylene chloride was then added dropwise, and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. The mixture was then cooled to 0 °C, and a solution of 3-furaldehyde (9.6 g, 0.1 mol) in 50 mL of methylene chloride was added dropwise. The reaction was then allowed to stir overnight. Addition of methyl butane (ca. 400 mL) to the reaction mixture caused the precipitation of a black residue. The solution was decanted, the residue was dissolved in a minimum of methylene chloride, and methylbutane was again added to cause precipitation. This process was repeated until the residue showed no traces of product by TLC analysis. The methylbutane solution was concentrated and chromatographed to afford 24.939 g (99%) of dibromide 23 as a brown oil, $R_f = 0.56$ (10% ethyl acetate/hexanes; UV active). This compound was not further characterized due to its marginal stability: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 6.79 (1 H, m), 7.27 (1 H, s), 7.42 (1 H, m), 7.83 (1 H, m).

Acetylenic Ester 24. To a mechanically stirred solution of 250 mL of THF and 24.939 g (0.099 mol) of dibromide 23 cooled to -78 °C was added dropwise 84.0 mL (0.210 mol) of 2.5 M n-butyllithium. After 25 min, 27.0 mL (0.350 mol) of methyl chloroformate was added in one portion. After 15 min, the dry ice/acetone bath was removed and the solution was stirred for an additional 10 min before being quenched with saturated NH₄Cl. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether, and the combined extracts were dried over MgSO₄. Chromatography afforded 11.296 g (76%) of ester 24 as yellow prisms: mp 44 °C; $R_f = 0.32$ (10%) ethyl acetate/hexanes, UV active); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.83 (3 H, s), 6.55 (1 H, dd, J = 7.5, 1.7 Hz), 7.43 (1 H, dd, J = 1.7, 1.7 Hz), 7.82(1 H, dd, J = 0.75, 1.7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDC1₃) δ 52.57, 78.69, 82.76, 104.63, 112.35, 143.33, 149.33, 152.13; IR (solution, CH₂Cl₂) 2214, 1729, 1710, 1516, 1352, 1162 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₈H₆O₃: C, 63.70; H, 4.03. Found: C, 63.83; H, 4.07.

Methyl 4,4-Dimethyl-3-(3'-furyl)-2-pentenoate (25). To a mechanically stirred suspension of 11.046 g (58 mmol) of copper(I) iodide in 130 mL of ether (cooled to 0 °C) was added dropwise 38.7 mL (58 mmol) of 1.5 M methyllithium. After 30 min, the reaction was cooled to -78 °C, and 34.1 mL (58 mmol) of 1.7 M tert-butyllithium was added dropwise. The solution was warmed to -35 °C and then cooled back to -78 °C. A solution of ester 24 (8.18 g, 54 mmol) in 40 mL of THF was then added dropwise. After 45 min, the reaction was quenched at -78 °C with saturated NH₄Cl, filtered through a pad of Celite, and extracted with ether. The combined extracts were dried over MgSO4 and concentrated to give 9.03 g (80%) of ester 25 as a yellow oil. The crude product was used for the next reaction without further purification: $R_f = 0.33$ (10%) ethyl acetate/hexanes); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.12 (9 H, s), 3.57 (3 H, s), 6.00 (1-H, s), 6.26 (1 H, m), 7.19 (1 H, m), 7.43 (1 H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDC1₃) δ 28.68, 37.13, 51.00, 112.41, 117.04, 121.50, 138.64, 141.86, 158.66, 166.79; IR (film) 1746, 1645, 1506, 1027 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₆O₃: C. 69.21; H, 7.75. Found: C, 69.09; H, 7.73.

4,4-Dimethyl-3-(3'-furyl)-2-penten-1-ol (26). A mechanically stirred solution of 200 mL of ether and 8.13 g (43 mmol) of unsaturated ester 25 was cooled to 0 °C. A solution of 1 M DIBAL (95 mL; 95 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, cooled to 0 °C, and then quenched by the careful addition of 10% HCl. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether. The combined extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ and dried over MgSO₄. Chromatography yielded 5.580 g (72%) of alcohol **26** as a viscous, yellow oil: $R_f = 0.26$ (25% ethyl acetate/hexanes); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.07 (9 H, s), 3.95 (2 H, d, J = 6.6 Hz), 5.78 (1 H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 6.21 (1 H, m), 7.16 (1 H, m), 7.42 (1 H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 29.21, 35.78, 60.91, 112.77, 121.63, 125.02, 139.54, 142.24, 144.59; IR 1506, 1270, 1173, 1032 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₆O₂: C, 73.30; H, 8.95. Found: C, 73.37; H, 8.96.

Acetylenic Ester 7. A solution of 30 mL of methylene chloride and 1.35 mL (31 mmol) of oxallyl chloride was cooled to -78 °C, and a solution of DMSO (2.2 mL, 31 mmol) in 10 mL of methylene chloride was cooled to -78 °C, and a solution of DMSO (2.2 mL, 31 mmol) in 10 mL of methylene chloride was added at a rate slow enough to keep the temperature below -65 °C. After 15 min, a solution of alcohol 26 (2.535 g, 14.1 mmol) in 15 mL of methylene chloride was added slowly. After 30 min. 7 mL (50 mmol) of triethylamine was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred for 5 min and then allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction mixture was then poured into H2O and extracted with methylene chloride. The combined extracts were washed with 10% HCl and saturated NaHCO3 and then dried over MgSO4. The crude aldehyde (2.39 g, 95%) was used immediately in the next reaction: $R_f = 0.49 (25\% \text{ ethyl acetate/hexanes}); ^1\text{H NMR (CDCl}_3) \delta 1.27 (9 \text{ H},$ s), 6.22 (1 H, d J = 6.8 Hz), 6.41 (1 H, m), 7.34 (1 H, m), 7.49 (1 H, m), 9.43 (1 H, d J = 6.8 Hz).

To a solution of 40 mL of THF and 2.8 mL (20 mmol) of disopropylamine cooled to -78 °C was added 8 mL (20 mmol) of 2.5 M n-butyllithium. After 10 min, a solution of ethyl propiolate (2.03 mL, 20 mmol) in 15 mL of THF was added over 30 min. After 20 min, a solution of the aldehyde above (2.38 g, 13 mmol) in 10 mL of THF was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred for 20 min and quenched at -78 °C with saturated NH₄Cl. The mixture was extracted with ether, and the combined extracts were dried with MgSO₄. Chromatography provided 3.335 g (90%) of ester 7 as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.31$ (25% ethyl acetate/hexanes); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.09 (9 H, s), 1.31 (3 H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 4.79 (1 H, dd, J = 4.7, 8.7 Hz), 5.71 (1 H, d, J = 8.7 Hz), 6.26 (1 H, m), 7.25 (1 H, m), 7.43 (1 H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 14.010, 28.99, 31.32, 36.06, 60.26, 62.17, 86.94, 112.53, 120.74, 123.61, 140.10, 142.56, 147.38, 153.46; IR (film) 2213, 1701, 1238 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}O_4$: C, 69.54; H, 7.30. Found: C, 69.46; H, 7.32.

Epoxy Alcohol 6. To a solution of 40 mL of methylene chloride, 3.335 g (12.07 mmol) of alcohol 7, and 80 mg (0.3 mmol) of VO(acac)₂, cooled to 0 °C, was added dropwise 3.7 mL (15 mmol) of a 4.1 M tert-butyl hydroperoxide solution. The reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature, and then an aliquot was removed and concentrated. NMR analysis showed no remaining starting material, and the remainder of the reaction was concentrated and chromatographed to give 2.872 g (81%) of 6 as a yellow oil. The crude epoxide was used as soon as possible for the next reaction: $R_f = 0.31$ (25% ethyl acetate/hexanes); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.97 (9 H, s), 1.33 (3 H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.46 (1 H, d, J = 7.9 Hz), 3.83 (1 H, d, J = 7.9 Hz), 4.27 (2 H, q, J = 7.1 Hz), 6.41 (1 H, m), 7.37–7.41 (2 H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.99, 25.77, 34.09, 62.12, 62.37, 63.16, 66.07, 78.11, 83.06, 111.09, 120.61, 141.05, 142.47, 153.02, 189.19; IR (film) 2223, 1718, 1374, 1260 cm⁻¹.

Cyclopentenone 5. A mixture of 65 mL of ether, 13 mL (64.7 mmol) of [(1-ethoxycyclopropyl)oxy]trimethylsilane, and 32 mL of a 1.5 M ZnCl₂ solution was irradiated for 1 h with ultrasound in a Branson ultrasonic cleaner at which time a gelatinous precipitate was observed at the bottom of the flask. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min and then cooled to 0 °C. To this suspension was added 0.270 g (1.3 mmol, 10 mol % of ester) of CuBr·Me₂S, a solution of ester 6 (3.78 g, 12.9 mmol) in 25 mL of THF, and 7.7 mL (65 mmol) of HMPA. After 5 h, the reaction was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl and extracted with ether. The combined extracts were dried over MgSO4 and chromatographed to afford 2.853 g (52%) of enone 5 as a white solid: mp 108 °C; $R_f = 0.28$ (25% ethyl acetate/hexanes, UV active); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.11 (9 H, s), 0.94 (9 H, s), 1.27 (3 H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.46-2.59 (2 H, band), 2.81-2.97 (2 H, m), 3.40 (1 H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 4.04-4.27 (2 H, m), 4.51 (1 H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 6.30 (1 H, m), 7.26 (1 H, m), 7.26 (1 H, m); 13 C NMR δ 0.061, 14.08, 26.01, 34.38, 34.70, 61.05, 62.22, 66.13, 69.13, 95.74, 111.07, 121.41, 127.57, 140.93, 142.53, 162.55, 178.18, 203.57; IR (solution, CH₂Cl₂) 1750, 1725, 1272 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₃₂O₆Si: C, 62.82; H, 7.67. Found: C, 62.81; H, 7.67.

Photoadduct 4. A solution of enone 5 (2.835 g, 6.8 mmol) in 125 mL of 10:1 hexanes/methylene chloride was irradiated in a toroidal-reactor with a 450-W Hanovia medium-pressure mercury vapor lamp fitted with a uranium glass sleeve (>350 nm) for 16 h. After the reaction was

complete, the solution was concentrated and chromatographed to provide 1.984 (69%) of photoadduct 4 as a white solid: mp 112 °C; $R_f = 0.49$ (25% ethyl acetate/hexanes); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.17 (9 H, s), 1.03 (9 H, s), 1.29 (3 H, t, J = 7 Hz), 1.93–2.02 (2 H, m), 2.34–2.70 (2 H, m), 3.44 (1 H, d, J = 0.5 Hz), 4.15–4.30 (2 H, m), 4.40 (1 H, d, J = 0.5 Hz), 5.15 (1 H, s), 5.31 (1 H, d, J = 2.9 Hz), 6.53 (1 H, d); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.07, 24.96, 26.42, 33.07, 39.67, 61.08, 61.33, 66.79, 67.20, 67.90, 73.18, 84.17, 102.80, 152.11, 167.12, 211.68; IR (solution, CH₂-Cl₂) 1760, 1729, 1605, 1271 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{22}H_{32}O_6Si$: C, 62.80; H, 7.67. Found: C, 62.76; H, 7.68.

Diol 27. To a solution of 10 mL of THF and 0.036 mL (0.26 mmol) of diisopropylamine at -78 °C was added dropwise 0.1 mL (0.26 mmol) of 2.5 M *n*-butyllithium. After 10 min, a solution of photoadduct 4 (100 mg, 0.24 mmol) in 8 mL of THF was added dropwise. After 30 min, a solution of TMSC1 (0.04 mL, 0.30 mmol) in 4 mL of THF was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 15 min and then quenched by the addition of saturated NaHCO₃. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether, and the combined extracts were dried over Na₂SO₄. Chromatography afforded 115 mg (97%) of crude enol ether as a pale, yellow oil. The product was used immediately in the next reaction: $R_f = 0.67$ (25% ethyl acetate/hexanes, UV active); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.17 (9 H, s), 0.18 (9 H, s), 1.02 (9 H, s) 1.28 (3 H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.16 (1 H, dd, J = 2.4, 17.1 Hz), 2.44 (1 H, dd, J = 2.4, 17.1 Hz), 3.39 (1 H, s), 4.06–4.35 (2 H, m), 4.38 (1 H, s), 4.82 (1 H, dd, J = 2.4, 2.4 Hz), 5.05 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 5.24 (1 H, s), 6.48 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz).

To a mixture of 15 mL of methylene chloride, 115 mg (0.23 mmol) of crude silyl enol ether, and 25 mg (0.3 mmol) of NaHCO3 at 0 °C was added 86 mg (0.25 mmol) of m-CPBA. After 30 min, the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature. The reaction was quenched after 2 h with 10% NaHSO3. The aqueous layer was extracted with methylene chloride, and the combined extracts were concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in 20 mL of a 5:1 THF/H2O solution, and 30 mg of PPTS was added. After 20 min, saturated NaHCO3 was added, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined extracts were dried over Na₂SO₄ and chromatographed to yield 74 mg (85%) of α -hydroxy ketone 27 as a white solid: mp 177–179 °C; $R_f = 0.33$ (75% ethyl acetate/hexanes); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.05 (9 H, s), 1.31 (3 H, t, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.93 (1 H, A of ABX, J = 11.2, 14.3 Hz), 2.47 (1 H, B of ABX, J = 9.3, 14.3 Hz), 3.64 (1 H, d, J = 0.7 Hz), 4.22–4.33 (2 H, m), 4.52-4.56 (2 H), 5.13 (1 H, s), 5.40 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 6.55 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6) δ 14.54, 26.67, 33.71, 34.76, 61.50, 61.92, 64.78, 66.20, 68.51, 72.71, 74.36, 75.85, 84.53, 104.48, 153.00, 167.89, 211.27; IR (solution, CH₂Cl₂) 1767, 1720, 1604, 1270 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{24}O_7$: C, 62.26; H, 6.64. Found: C, 62.52; H,

Hemiacetal 28. A mixture of α -hydroxy ketone 27 (23 mg, 0.063 mmol), 8 mL of a 2:1 methanol/water solution, and 69 mg (0.32 mmol) of NaIO₄ was stirred for 30 min at which time 5 mL of water and 2 mL of 2 N H₂SO₄ were added. The solution was extracted with CHCl₃, and the combined extracts were dried over Na₂SO₄. Hemiacetal 28 (24 mg, 100%) was isolated as a viscous, yellow oil. The crude product was used in the next reaction: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.98 (9 H, s), 1.30 (3 H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.17 (1 H, A of ABX, J = 4.5, 14.2 Hz), 2.81 (1 H, B of ABX, J = 14.2 Hz), 3.63 (1 H, s), 4.29 (2 H, m), 4.51 (1 H, s), 5.30 (1 H, d, J = 2.8 Hz), 5.44 (1 H, s), 5.53 (1 H, d, J = 4.5 Hz), 6.42 (1 H, d, J = 2.8 Hz).

Methyl Acetal 29. A solution of hemiacetal 28 (27 mg, 0.071 mmol) and 10 mg of p-TsOH in 8 mL of 1:1 methanol/trimethyl orthoformate was stirred for 2 h. The mixture was diluted with water, and solid NaHCO was added. The mixture was extracted with CHCl3, and the combined extracts were dried over Na₂SO₄. Removal of the solvent yielded 28 mg (100%) of a mixture of anomers of methyl acetal 29 as a viscous, yellow oil. The crude product was used in the next reaction: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) major anomer δ 0.96 (9 H, s), 1.24-1.32 (3 H, m), 2.14 (1 H, A of ABX, J = 4.6, 14.2 Hz), 2.73 (1 H, B of ABX, J = 14.2 Hz), 3.26 (3 H, s), 3.59 (1 H, s), 4.20-4.30 (2 H, m), 4.46 (1 H, s), 4.92 (1 H, d, J = 4.6)Hz), 5.21 (1 H, d, J = 2.8 Hz), 5.39 (1 H, s), 6.38 (1 H, d, J = 2.8 Hz); ¹H NMR minor anomer δ 0.97 (9 H, s), 1.24–1.32 (3 H, m), 2.57 (2 H, dd, J = 4.7, 14.2 Hz), 3.36 (3 H, s), 3.62 (1 H, s), 4.20-4.30 (2 H, m), 4.59 (1 H, s), 4.87 (1 H, dd, J = 4.7, 4.7 Hz), 5.06 (1 H, d, J = 2.9 Hz),5.06 (1 H, d, J = 2.9 Hz), 6.48 (1 H, d, J = 2.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) both anomers δ 14.05, 26.16, 26.19, 32.85, 32.88, 38.13, 38.73, 54.59, 55.58, 61.82, 61.87, 62.85, 63.06, 64.06, 66.25, 66.49, 66.92, 68.10, 74.55, 75.82, 82.07, 83.06, 83.69, 84.13, 101.28, 104.03, 105.33, 105.54, 149.60, 151.27, 149.60, 151.27, 166.35, 166.47, 170.32, 170.60; IR (film) 1738, 1607, 1363, 1262 cm⁻¹.

Ester 30. A solution of 28 mg (0.071 mmol) of acid ester 29 in 10 mL of toluene was heated at reflux for 1.5 h and then cooled and concentrated in vacuo. Chromatography vielded 24 mg (96%) of ester **30** as a yellow oil: $R_{\ell} = 0.67, 0.63 (50\% \text{ ethyl acetate/hexanes}); {}^{1}H$ NMR (CDCl₃) major isomer δ 0.99 (9 H, s), 1.27 (3 H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.99 (1 H, A of ABX, J = 4.5, 13 Hz), 2.45 (1 H, B of ABX, J = 13Hz), 3.11 (1 H, d, J = 4.1 Hz), 3.29 (3 H, s), 3.63 (1 H, s), 4.06-4.27(2 H, m), 4.49 (1 H, s), 4.90 (1 H, d, J = 4.5 Hz), 5.11 (1 H, d, J = 4.5 Hz)4.1 Hz), 5.21 (1 H, d, J = 2.9 Hz), 6.45 (1 H, d, J = 2.9 Hz); ¹H NMR minor anomer δ 1.01 (9 H, s), 1.27 (3 H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.18 (1 H, A of ABX, J = 4.6, 14.2 Hz), 2.56 (1 H, B of ABX, J = 14.2 Hz), 3.27 (3 H, s), 3.47 (1 H, d, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.63 (1 H, s), 3.71 (1 H, s), 4.06-4.27(2 H, m), 4.49 (1 H, s), 4.92 (1 H, d), 4.98 (1 H, d, J = 7.1 Hz), 5.17 $(1 \text{ H}, d, J = 2.7 \text{ Hz}), 6.45 (1 \text{ H}, d, J = 2.9 \text{ Hz}); {}^{13}\text{C NMR (CDCl}_3) \text{ both}$ anomers δ 14.20, 14.32, 26.16, 26.36, 32.81, 32.96, 36.78, 41.65, 49.89, 50.65, 54.38, 54.45, 60.21, 60.60, 63.54, 64.71, 68.71, 68.25, 68.86, 75.97, 76.62, 80.98, 81.46, 81.98, 87.24, 103.46, 103.92, 104.70, 106.06, 148.78, 149.61, 169.69; IR (film) 1744, 1728, 1602, 1482, 1362 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₆O₆: C, 65.12; H, 7.48. Found: C, 65.00; H, 7.43.

Alcohol 31. A solution of ester 30 (140 mg, 0.40 mmol) in 10 mL of THF was added dropwise to a suspension of 20 mg (0.66 mmol) of lithium aluminum hydride in 15 mL of THF at 0 °C. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then quenched with 10% NaOH. The precipitated salts were filtered and washed with ethylacetate. The filtrate and the washings were dried over Na₂SO₄. Chromatography yielded 115 mg (93%) of alcohol 31 as a white solid: mp 84 °C; $R_f = 0.33, 0.29$ $(50\% \text{ ethyl acetate/hexanes}); ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) \delta 0.95-0.99 (9 H, m),$ 1.73-1.85 (1 H, m), 2.22-2.52 (2 H, m), 3.24-3.33 (3 H, m), 3.65-3.83 (3 H, m), 4.51-4.59 (1 H, m), 4.84-4.96 (2 H, m), 5.11-5.19 (1 H, m), 6.42-6.52 (1 H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 26.13, 26.24, 26.40, 32.86, 34.83, 41.72, 45.94, 49.36, 54.41, 59.83, 63.33, 68.67, 68.82, 79.38, 81.02, 81.90, 81.99, 87.27, 104.06, 104.71, 105.72, 148.60, 149.25; IR (solution, CH₂Cl₂) 1604, 1482, 1362, 1100 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₄O₅: C, 66.21; H, 7.84. Found: C, 66.19; 7.87.

Methylenecyclobutane 32. To a solution of 115 mg (0.37 mmol) of freshly chromatographed alcohol 31 and 100 mg (0.44 mmol) of o-NO2-PhSeCN in 2 mL of THF was added 0.1 mL (0.44 mmol) of n-Bu₃P. The reaction immediately turned dark, and TLC showed the reaction was complete. The solution was concentrated and chromatographed to afford 144 mg (79%) of selenide as a yellow solid. The product was used immediately in the next reaction: $R_f = 0.61, 0.58$ (50% ethyl acetate/ hexanes); ${}^{1}H$ (CDCl₃) major isomer δ 1.01 (9 H, s), 1.77–1.88 (1 H, m), 2.41-2.61 (2 H, m), 2.98-3.16 (2 H, m, SeCH₂), 3.28 (3 H, s), 3.75 (1 H, s), 4.54 (1 H, s), 4.59 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 4.88-4.95 (1 H, m), 5.07(1 H, d, J = 2.7 Hz), 6.47 (1 H, d, J = 2.7 Hz), 7.28-7.36 (1 H, m),7.47-7.55 (2 H, m), 8.28 (1 H, d, J = 6.6 Hz); ¹H (CDCl₃) minor isomer δ 1.01 (9 H, s), 1.77-1.88 (1 H, m), 2.41-2.61 (2 H, m), 2.98-3.16 (2 H, m, SeCH₂), 3.37 (3 H, s), 3.70 (1 H, s), 4.54 (1 H, s), 4.57 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 4.88-4.95 (1 H, m), 5.19 (1 H, d, J = 2.7 Hz), 6.43 (1 H, d, J = 2.7 Hz), 7.28-7.36 (1 H, m), 7.47-7.55 (2 H, m), 8.28 (1 H, d, m)J = 6.6 Hz).

To a solution of 144 mg (0.29 mmol) of selenide from the reaction above on 15 mL of THF at 0 °C was added 0.3 mL of 30% H₂O₂. The reaction was stirred for 2.5 h and quenched with 10% Na₂S₂O₅. The mixture was extracted with methylene chloride, and the combined extracts were dried over Na₂SO₄. Chromatography yielded 61 mg (72%) of alkene 32 as a waxy, yellow solid: mp 81 °C; $R_f = 0.55$ (25% ethyl acetate/ hexanes); H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.01 (9 H, s), 1.92 (1 H, A of ABX, J = 4.5, 13.6 Hz), 2.42 (1 H, B of ABX, J = 13.6 Hz), 3.30 (3 H, s, CH_3OCH), 3.67 (1 H, s), 4.33 (1 H, s), 4.96 (1 H, d, J = 4.5 Hz), 5.09 (1 H, dd, J = 1.5, 1.5 Hz), 5.18 (1 H, d, J = 2.9 Hz), 5.19 (1 H, dd, J)J = 1.5, 1.5 Hz), 5.30 (1 H, dd, J = 1.5, 1.5 Hz), 6.46 (1 H, J = 2.9Hz); 13 C NMR (CDC1₃) δ 26.26, 32.98, 40.50, 54.44, 64.28, 69.11, 72.06, 76.21, 84.24, 86.74, 102.98, 105.74, 112.44, 149.35, 153.22; IR (solution, CH₂Cl₂) 1601, 1484, 1363, 1218, 1199, 1103 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₇H₂₂O₄: C, 70.32; H, 7.64. Found: C, 70.32; H, 7.67.

Cyclobutanone 33. A solution of 1.2 mg (0.0041 mmol) of alkene 32, 3 mL of THF, 1 mL of H₂O, 20 mg (0.09 mmol) of NaIO₄, and 0.2 mL (0.0008 mmol) of a 0.004 M solution of OsO4 in dioxane was stirred for 48 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with H₂O and extracted with methylene chloride. The combined extracts were dried over Na2SO4 and chromatographed to afford 0.9 mg (70%) of cyclobutanone 67 as a white solid: mp 93 °C; $R_f = 0.44$ (25% ethyl acetate/hexanes); ¹H NMR (CDC1₃) δ 1.05 (9 H, s), 2.14 (1 H, A of ABX, J = 4.3, 13.5 Hz), 2.42 (1 H, B of ABX, J = 13.5 Hz), 3.33 (3 H, s), 3.75 (1 H, s), 4.64 (1 H, s), 5.02 (1 H, d, J = 4.3 Hz), 5.31 (1 H, d, J = 2.8 Hz), 5.45 (1 H, s), 6.50 (1 H, d, J = 2.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 26.13, 33.07, 36.72, 54.67, 60.24, 69.29, 84.99, 91.73, 94.83, 102.68, 105.96, 121.54, 149.77, 206.28; IR (solution, CH₂Cl₂) 1795, 1608, 1369 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₆H₂₀O₅ 292.1311, found 292.1309.

Lactone 34. A mixture of 8.3 mg (0.028 mmol) of cyclobutanone 33. 0.8 mL (0.24 mmol) of 30% H₂O₂, 0.1 mL (0.04 mmol) of a 40% solution of Triton B in methanol, and 3 mL of THF was stirred for 3 h at -78 °C. The reaction was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl and extracted with ether. The combined extracts were dried over Na₂SO₄ and chromatographed to afford 6.1 mg (73%) of lactone 34 as a white solid: mp 124-126 °C; 'H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.03 (9 H, s), 2.28 (1 H, A of ABX. J = 13.6 Hz), 2.43 (1 H, B of ABX, J = 4.3, 13.6 Hz), 3.37 (3 H, s), 3.65 (1 H, s), 4.64 (1 H, s), 5.15 (1 H, d, J = 4.3 Hz), 5.42 (1 H, d, J)= 2.9 Hz), 6.30 (1 H, s), 6.44 (1 H, d, J = 2.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 26.39, 33.32, 42.52, 54.88, 65.66, 65.96, 66.96, 87.34, 106.63, 106.81, 108.99, 145.95, 150.41, 177.60; IR (solution, CH₂Cl₂) 1795, 1629, 1272, 1027 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}O_6$ 308.12599, found 308.12560.

Epoxide 36. A solution of 1.9 mg (0.006 mmol) of lactone 34 and 5 mL of 0.05 M solution of dimethyldioxirane in acetone was stirred for 18 h and concentrated. Chromatography of the residue provided 2 mg (100%) of diepoxide 36 which was spectroscopically identical to that reported by Corey: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.05 (s, 9 H), 2.63 (dd, J = 4.4, 14.3 Hz), 2.85 (1 H, J = 14.3 Hz), 3.38 (s, 1 H), 3.64 (s, 1 H), 4.12 (d, 1)J = 0.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.59 (s, 1 H), 5.18 (d, J = 4.4 Hz), 5.54 (d, J = 0.5 HzHz, 1 H), 6.16 (s, 1 H).

Hydroxy Nitrile 44. A 500-mL three-necked flask, equipped with a closed stopcock-controlled nitrogen inlet, magnetic stirrer, and vacuum adapter, was charged with 11.6 g (31.2 mmol) of cerium trichloride heptahydrate and heated to 140 °C at 1 mmHg with stirring for 2 h. The vacuum was replaced with nitrogen, and the reaction flask was cooled to 0 °C. Tetrahydrofuran (150 mL precooled to 15 °C) was added in one portion and allowed to stir for 15 h at room temperature. The mixture was then cooled to -78 °C, and 18.35 mL of a 1.7 M solution of tert-butyl lithium (31.2 mmol) was added dropwise. The resulting orange mixture was stirred for 2 h, and 1.8 mL (20.8 mmol) of 3-furaldehyde in 5 mL of THF was added over a 5-min period. This mixture was stirred for 30 min at -78 °C and quenched with a saturated ammonium chloride solution. The mixture was warmed to 0 °C and diluted with 100 mL of diethyl ether. All solids were removed by filtration through a Celite pad, and the filter was washed with diethyl ether. The aqueous filtrate was extracted twice with diethyl ether. The combined organics were dried and concentrated to provide 3.04 g (98.5%) of alcohol, which was used without further purification: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.89 (9 H, s), 1.98 (1 H, br s), 4.31 (1 H, s), 6.36 (1 H, m), 7.31 (1 H, m), 7.35 (1 H, m); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 142.42, 139.91, 126.62, 109.99, 75.60, 35.28, 25.70; IR (film) 3440 cm⁻¹.

A solution of 12.15 mL (24.3 mmol) of 2 M oxalyl chloride in 200 mL of methylene chloride was cooled to -78 °C, and 3.45 mL (48.6 mmol) of dimethyl sulfoxide in 10 mL of methylene chloride was added at such a rate as to keep the internal temperature of the reaction below -65 °C. After the solution was stirred for 15 min, 2.5 g (16.2 mmol) of the alcohol above, in 10 mL of methylene chloride, was added at such a rate as to keep the reaction temperature below -65 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at -78 °C before 17.5 mL (128 mmol) of triethylamine was added, dropwise, over a 15-min period. This mixture was stirred for 30 min at -78 °C, warmed to 0 °C, and diluted with 50 mL of water and 50 mL of 5% HCl. The aqueous layer was extracted with methylene chloride, and the extracts were washed with NaHCO3, dried, and concentrated. The residue was flash chromatographed to yield 2.17 g (88%) of the ketone: ${}^{1}H$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.26 (9 H, s), 6.74 (1 H, m), 7.36 (1 H, m), 7.98 (1 H, m); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 200.92, 146.37, 142.78, 124.50, 110.41, 43.94, 27.50; IR (film) 1655

A solution of 150 mL of THF and 3.195 mL (22.8 mmol) of diisopropylamine was cooled to -78 °C, and 9.12 mL (22.8 mmol) of a 2.5 M solution of n-butyllithium was added dropwise. After the solution was stirred for 5 min, 1.08 mL (20.7 mmol) of acetonitrile in 5 mL of THF was added dropwise, followed by the rapid addition of 3.97 mL (22.8 mmol) of HMPA. This mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 40 min, and 2.1 g (13.8 mmol) of tert-butylfuryl ketone in 20 mL of THF was added dropwise. After being stirred for 30 min, the reaction mixture was warmed to -20 °C and quenched with saturated NH₄Cl, and 50 mL of water was added. The mixture was extracted with ether, and the organic extracts were dried, filtered, and concentrated. Flash chromatography of the concentrate provided 2.55 g (95.6%) of the white solid β -hydroxy nitrile 44: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.92 (9 H, s), 2.66 (1 H, br

s), 2.83 (1 H, d, J = 16.8 Hz, [B of AB]), 2.89 (1 H, d, J = 16.8 Hz, [A of AB]), 6.29 (1 H, m), 7.36 (2 H, m); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 142.81, 140.22, 127.66, 118.15, 109.89, 76.25, 37.89, 27.74, 25.10; IR (in CDCl₃) 3480, 2260, 1165 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{11}H_{15}O_2N$: C, 68.36; H, 7.82. Found: C, 68.28; H, 7.81.

β-Hydroxy Aldehyde 38. A mechanically stirred mixture of 150 mL of ethyl ether and 2.55 g (13.2 mmol) of nitrile 44 was cooled to -78 °C. Diisobutylaluminum hydride, 29 mL of a 1 M solution of in hexanes (29 mmol), was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred for 15 min at -78 °C, warmed to room temperature for 1 h, cooled to 0 °C, and quenched with a 5% sulfuric acid solution. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether, and the combined extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₃, dried, and concentrated. Chromatography yielded 1.47 g (56%) of aldehyde 38: 1 H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.93 (9 H, s), 2.79 (1 H, dd, J = 16.7, 1.4 Hz, [B of ABX]), 3.06 (1 H, dd, J = 16.7, 2.1 Hz, [A of ABX]), 6.32 (1 H, m), 7.32 (1 H, m), 7.37 (1 H, m), 9.7 (1 H, dd, J = 2.1, 1.4 Hz, [X of ABX]); 13 C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 204.00, 142.56, 140.02, 128.78, 110.31, 76.67, 48.67, 37.94, 24.97; IR (film) 3520, 2740, 1720, 1165 cm $^{-1}$. HRMS calcd for $C_{11}H_{16}O_3$ 196.1099 found 196.1100.

Cyclopentenones 45. A solution of 20 mL of THF and 0.42 mL (3.0 mmol) of diisopropylamine was cooled to -78 °C, and 1.2 mL (3.0 mmol) of 2.5 M n-butyllithium in hexanes was added. After 10 min, a solution of ketone 39 (0.616 g, 2.9 mmol) in 10 mL of THF was added dropwise. After 30 min, a solution of aldehyde 38 (0.275 g, 1.4 mmol) in 15 mL of THF was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred for 20 min and quenched at -78 °C with a saturated NH₄Cl solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and then with ethyl acetate. The combined extracts were dried and concentrated. Chromatography provided 0.53 g (93%) of addition products 45: 1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) both diastereomers δ 0.15-0.2 (12 H, m), 0.9-1.0 (36 H, m), 1.8-2.1 (4 H, m), 2.35-2.45 (2 H, m), 2.5-2.7 (2 H, m), 3.2-3.6 (4 H, broad), 3.72 (1 H, m), 4.07 (1 H, m), 6.15-6.21 (2 H, m) (1 H, m), 6.62 (1 H, t, J = 3 Hz), 6.68 (1 H, t, J = 3 Hz), 7.35 (4 H, m); 13 C NMR (400 MHz, CDC1₃) one diaster eomer δ 206.85, 152.94, 142.09, 141.06, 136.76, 129.46, 110.46, 78.36, 71.50, 48.05, 38.22, 38.08, 25.46, 25.31, 24.34, 18.23, 4.59.

Pivalate Ester 46. A mixture of 0.24 g (0.587 mmol) of silyl enol ethers 45 and excess KF in 10 mL of water and 20 mL of THF was stirred vigorously for 1 h and then quenched with saturated NH₄Cl. The water layer was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the combined organics were dried and concentrated. The crude product was taken up in 50 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂, and 0.245 mL (1.76 mmol) of triethylamine was added. The reaction was stirred for 30 min before 0.072 mL (0.59 mmol) of pivaloyl chloride was added in one portion. The resulting solution was stirred for 1 h, the reaction was quenched with a saturated NH₄Cl, and the water layer was extracted with CH2Cl2. The combined extracts were dried, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography yielded 0.107 g (48%) of pivalate 46: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.94 (9 H, s), 1.25 (9 H, s), 1.89 (1 H, dd, J = 14.6, 1.9 Hz, [B of ABX]), 2.18 (1 H, dd, J = 14.6, 11 Hz, [A of ABX]), 2.44 (2 H, t, J = 4.3 Hz, [X₂ of ABX2]), 2.62 (1 H, dt, J = 18.3, 4.3 Hz, [B of ABX₂]), 2.75 (1 H, dt, J = 18.3, 4.3 Hz, [A of ABX₂]), 3.64 (1 H, s), 3.15 (1 H, d, J = 2.6 Hz), 4.64 (1 H, ddd, J = 11, 2.6, 1.9 Hz), 6.18 (1 H, m), 6.33 (1 H, m), 6.36 (1 H, m); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 200.39, 175.86, 162.39, 144.15, 142.39, 140.84, 128.50, 110.41, 79.38, 66.31, 39.04, 38.41, 37.71, 32.18, 27.05, 25.19, 21.83; HRMS calcd for C₂₁H₃₀O₆ 378.2042, found 378.2045.

Photosubstrate 37. A solution of 0.013 g (0.034 mmol) of diol 46 and 0.01 mL (0.075 mmol) of triethylamine in 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was stirred for 5 min before 0.0095 mL (0.075 mmol) of chlorotrimethylsilane and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (catalytic) were added. The mixture was stirred for 15 min and quenched with a saturated NH₄Cl solution. The water layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂, and the combined extracts were dried, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography yielded 0.014 g (91%) of photosubstrate 37: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.09 (9 H, s), 0.89 (9 H, s), 1.29 (9 H, s), 1.86 (1 H, dd, J = 14.6, 2.3 Hz, [B of ABX]), 2.13 (1 H, dd, J = 14.6, 11.6 Hz, [A of ABX]), 2.45 (2 H, m), 2.64 (2 H, m), 4.53 (1 H, s), 4.71 (1 H, dd, J = 11.6, 2.3 Hz), 6.16 (1 H, m), 7.32 (2 H, m); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 200.09, 175.25, 161.48, 144.07, 141.98, 141.08, 128.95, 110.84, 78.31, 66.97, 38.99, 38.21, 37.47, 32.23, 27.09, 25.13, 21.29, 0.65; IR (film) 3490, 1760, 1720, 1110, 1090 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₂₄H₃₈O₆Si 450.2438, found 450.2431.

Cyclobutane 48. A solution of enone 37 (1.02 g, 2.26 mmol) in 350 mL of hexanes was irradiated in a toroidal reactor with a 450-W Hanovia medium-pressure mercury lamp fitted with a uranium glass sleeve (>350 nm) for 18 h. After irradiation, the solution was concentrated and

chromatographed to provide 0.51 g (50%) of photoadduct **48**: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.08 (9 H, s), 1.08 (9 H, s), 1.22 (9 H, s), 1.7 (1 H, br s), 1.9–2.2 (2 H, m), 2.23–2.38 (2 H, m), 2.48–2.84 (2 H, m), 3.75 (1 H, dd, J = 6.4, 2.1 Hz), 5.18 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 5.2 (1 H, s), 6.36 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 212.21, 177.43, 150.30, 103.38, 86.05, 83.26, 82.53, 70.64, 66.32, 65.42, 46.60, 38.42, 38.16, 37.04, 26.97, 26.78, 23.63, 0.05; IR (film) 3530, 1750, 1725 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₃₈O₆Si: C, 63.96; H, 8.50. Found: C, 63.80; H, 8.44

α-Hydroxy Ketone 51. A mixture of 200 mL of THF and 0.364 mL (2.6 mmol) of disopropylamine was cooled to -78 °C, and 1.04 mL (2.6 mmol) of a 2.5 M solution of n-butyllithium in hexanes was added. After 5 min 0.532 g (1.18 mmol) of keto ester 48 in 10 mL of THF was added dropwise. The reaction was warmed to 0 °C and stirred for 15 min. Solid oxodiperoxymolybdenum(pyridine)hexamethylphosphoramide(MoOPH) (2.17 g, 5 mmol) was added in one portion, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 30 min at 0 °C before being quenched with a saturated NH₄-Cl. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the extracts were dried, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography yielded 0.416 g (76%) of hydroxy ketone 51: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.05 (9) H, s), 1.06 (9 H, s), 1.22 (9 H, s), 2.0-2.18 (2 H, m), 2.23-2.35 (1 H, dd, J = 15.0, 2.8 Hz, [A' of A'B'X']), 2.51 (1 H, dd, J = 14.7, 9 Hz, [A of ABX]), 3.78 (1 H, dd, J = 6.3, 2.8 Hz), 4.76 (1 H, t, J = 9 Hz), 5.21 (1 H, s), 5.22 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 6.32 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz); ¹³C NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDC1}_3) \delta 211.75, 177.54, 150.38, 104.04, 85.85, 82.42, 82.31,$ 74.42, 70.40, 66.52, 63.32, 46.48, 38.31, 37.05, 34.53, 27.00, 26.78, 0.03; IR (film) 3490, 1760, 1725, 1140 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{24}H_{38}O_7Si$: C, 61.77; H, 8.21. Found: C, 61.86, H, 8.18.

Acetal 53. A mixture of 0.301 g (0.763 mmol) of α-hydroxy ketone 51, 8 mL of methanol, and 16 mL of benzene was cooled to 10 °C. Solid lead tetraacetate (0.474 g, 1.068 mmol) was added in one portion, and the solution was stirred for 10 min before being quenched with saturated NaHCO₃. The mixture was filtered through a Celite pad which was subsequently washed with ethyl acetate. The resulting filtrate was dried, filtered, and concentrated to give 0.304 g (94%) of aldehyde 52 which was used without further purification: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.08 (9 H, s), 0.98 (9 H, s), 1.28 (9 H, s), 1.7 (1 H, br s), 1.9–2.1 (2 H, m), 2.48 (1 H, dd, J = 17, 3 Hz, [B of ABX]), 3.14 (1 H, dd, J = 17, 0.5 Hz, [A of ABX]), 3.61 (3 H, s), 4.87 (1 H, dd, J = 7.6, 6.7 Hz), 5.06 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 5.18 (1 H, s), 6.39 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 9.6 (1 H, dd, J = 3, 0.5 Hz, [X of ABX]); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 202.64, 176.64, 167.47, 149.78, 101.95, 85.46, 84.17, 81.28, 72.02, 65.92, 62.66, 52.07, 44.83, 40.52, 38.86, 37.24, 26.96, 26.93, 0.33.

A solution of 0.085 g (0.172 mmol) of aldehyde 52, p-toluenesulfonic acid (catalytic), and trimethyl orthoformate (1 mL, 6.1 mmol) in 10 mL of MeOH was stirred for 15 min before being quenched with a saturated NaHCO₃. Methanol was removed on a rotary evaporator, and the residue was taken up in water and extracted with ethyl ether. The combined extracts were dried, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography yielded 0.074 g (98%) of a mixture of acetals 53: 1H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) less polar diastereomer δ 0.98 (9 H, s), 1.21 (9 H, s), 1.68 (1 H, br s), 1.98 (1 H, dd, J = 14.2, 7.1 Hz, [B' of A'B'X']), 2.12 (1 H, dd, J = 15.3, 3.7 Hz, [B of ABX]), 2.40 (1 H, dd, J = 14.2, 7.1 Hz, [A' of A'B'X']), 3.02 (1 H, dd, J = 15.3, 6.2 Hz, [A of ABX]), 3.29 (3 H, s), 3.63 (3 H, s)s), 4.45 (1 H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 4.92 (1 H, dd, J = 6.2, 3.7 Hz, [X of ABX]),5.20 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 5.25 (1 H, s), 6.27 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) less polar diastereomer δ 177.56, 167.73, 148.80, 108.14, 103.79, 86.74, 84.04, 83.54, 83.11, 68.02, 67.49, 55.47, 51.93, 43.93, 38.46, 37.48, 36.90, 26.87, 26.33; IR (film) 3570, 1755, 1735 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) more polar diastereomer δ 1.02 (9 H, s), 1.24 (9 H, s), 2.05 (1 H, dd, J = 16.0, 6.11 Hz), 2.40–2.70 (3 H, m), 3.23 (3 H, s), 3.65 (3 H, s), 4.25 (1 H, d, J = 6.1 Hz), 4.90 (1 H, dd, J = 5.6, 1.7 Hz), 5.06 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 5.20 (1 H, s), 6.35 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) more polar diastereomer δ 176.40, 167.69, 150.14, 105.73, 101.19, 87.96, 84.05, 82.65, 82.17, 69.18, 67.49, 54.34, 51.98, 42.09, 38.49, 37.72, 26.92, 26.69.

Diol 54. A mixture of 20 mL of ethyl ether and 0.049 g (1.23 mmol) of lithium aluminum hydride was cooled to 0 °C, and 0.108 g (0.246 mmol) of diester 53 in 15 mL of ethyl ether was added dropwise. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 min before being quenched with 6 M NaOH and water. The mixture was filtered through a Celite pad which was subsequently washed with ethyl acetate. The filtrate was dried and concentrated to provide 0.07 g (87%) of a mixture of marginally stable diols 54 which were used immediately in the next reaction: 1 H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) both diastereomers δ 0.99 (9 H, s), 1.92 (9 H, s), 1.92.1 (5 H, m), 2.2 (3 H, m), 2.4–3.0 (6 H,

broad), 3.26 (3 H, s), 3.3 (3 H, s), 3.59 (1 H, d, J = 11 Hz), 3.78 (3 H, m), 4.68 (1 H, s), 4.95 (5 H, m), 5.09 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 5.12 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 6.38 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 6.42 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz). Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{26}O_5$: C, 65.78; H, 8.44. Found: C, 65.48; H, 8.40.

Cyclobutanone 3, A mixture of 30 mL of benzene and 0.07 g (0.214 mmol) of diol 54 was warmed to 60 °C to effect dissolution. The mixture was cooled to 30 °C, and 0.153 g (0.344 mmol) of solid lead tetraacetate was added. The mixture was stirred for 15 min before being quenched with a saturated NaHCO3 and filtered through a Celite pad. The Celite filter was washed with ether, and the filtrate was dried and concentrated. Chromatography provided 0.058 g (92%) of cyclobutanones 3: 1H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) more polar diastereomer δ 1.05 (9 H, s), 1.96 (1 H, s), 2.1-2.4 (3 H, m), 2.61 (1 H, dd, J = 16.2, 1.7 Hz), 3.28 (3 H, s), 4.58(1 H, dd, J = 7.4, 1.6 Hz), 5.01 (1 H, dd, J = 5.0, 1.2 Hz), 5.07 (1 H,d, J = 2.9 Hz), 5.78 (1 H, s), 6.44 (1 H, d, J = 2.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) more polar diastereomer δ 204.65, 150.31, 127.52, 105.97, 100.26, 95.64, 88.72, 82.14, 67.26, 54.56, 43.57, 37.85, 35.99, 26.41; ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) less polar diastereomer δ 1.03 (9 H, s), 1.5 (1 H, s), 2.10-2.42 (4 H, m), 3.29 (3 H, s), 4.90 (1 H, t, J = 7.3 Hz), 5.04 (1 H, dd, J = 5.1, 0.8 Hz), 5.25 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 5.52 (1 H, s),6.45 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) less polar diastereomer & 205.50, 148.28, 127.29, 107.60, 103.49, 95.29, 87.99, 87.24, 65.24, 54.52, 47.07, 37.42, 37.19, 25.00; IR (film) 3540, 1785 cm $^{-1}$; HRMS calcd for $C_{16}H_{22}O_5$ 294.1467, found 294.1477.

Lactone 56. To a solution of 30 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and 0.044 g (0.15 mmol) of cyclobutanone 3 was added solid 50% m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (0.103 g, 0.3 mmol) and solid (0.025 g, 0.3 mmol) in one portion. The mixture was stirred for 10 min before being quenched with a 10% sodium thiosulfate solution. This mixture was stirred vigorously for 15 min before 10 mL of a saturated NaHCO3 was added and the water layer was extracted with CH2Cl2. The combined extracts were dried, filtered, and concentrated. Chromatography provided 0.0435 g (94%) of lactones 56: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) more polar diastereomer δ 1.02 (9 H, s), 2.0–2.1 (2 H, m), 2.27 (1 H, dd, J = 14.1, 5 Hz), 2.37 (1 H, dd, J = 13.8, 6.8 Hz), 3.37 (3 H, s), 4.86 (1 H, t, J = 7.0 Hz),5.02 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 5.10 (1 H, dd, J = 4.9, 2.3 Hz), 6.36 (1 H, s),6.45 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) more polar diastereomer δ 178.79, 146.38, 107.58, 107.37, 103.22, 89.00, 83.97, 69.59, 62.60, 55.21, 43.60, 40.99, 37.83, 26.28; ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) less polar diastereomer δ 1.00 (9 H, s), 2.22–2.37 (4 H, m), 3.31 (3 H, s), 4.89 (1 H, t, J = 7.5 Hz), 5.14 (1 H, dd, J = 4.5, 0.5 Hz), 5.28 $(1 \text{ H}, d, J = 3 \text{ Hz}), 6.40 (1 \text{ H}, d, J = 3 \text{ Hz}), 6.41 (1 \text{ H}, s); {}^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) less polar diastereomer δ 180.53, 145.33, 107.95, 107.82, 105.90, 88.27, 87.15, 70.02, 63.58, 54.45, 44.82, 42.59, 37.53, 26.15; IR (film) 3500, 1760 cm⁻¹; HRMS calcd for C₁₆H₂₂O₆ 310.1416, found 310.1417.

Dilactone 57. A mixture of 25 mL of acetone, 23 mg (0.074 mmol) of lactone 56, and 1.5 mL of Jones reagent was heated to reflux for 10 min. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and quenched with 2-propanol before being filtered through a Celite pad. The filter was washed with ethyl acetate, and the filtrate was dried and concentrated to yield 21.5 mg (99%) of dilactone 57: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 1.05 (9 H, s), 2.28 (1 H, dd, J = 13.1, 9.1 Hz), 2.66 (1 H, dd, J = 13.1, 6.5 Hz), 2.66 (1 H, d, J = 18.8 Hz), 3.00 (1 H, d, J = 18.8 Hz), 4.48 (1 H, s), 5.01 (1 H, dd, J = 9.0, 6.5 Hz), 5.67 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz), 6.47 (1 H, s), 6.65 (1 H, d, J = 3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 179.53, 174.42, 147.39, 108.87, 104.85, 86.95, 86.82, 84.59, 59.77, 43.38, 38.36, 36.76, 26.47; HRMS calcd for $C_{15}H_{18}O_6$ 294.1104, found 294.1099.

Bilobalide. A solution of 5 mg (0.017 mmol) of dilactone **27** and 10 mL of a 0.1 M solution of dimethyldioxirane in acetone was stirred for 15 h before solid sodium sulfate was added to the reaction mixture. After being stirred for 20 min, the mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated to provide 5 mg (88%) of the desired epoxide: ¹H NMR (250 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 1.10 (9 H, s), 2.51 (1 H, dd, J = 12.8, 10.0 Hz), 2.72 (1 H, ddd, J = 12.8, 5.8, 0.7 Hz), 2.91 (1 H, d, J = 18.7), 3.49 (1 H, d, J = 18.7 Hz), 4.45 (1 H, dd, J = 1.5, 0.8 Hz), 4.62 (1 H, s), 5.07 (1 H, dd, J = 10.0, 5.8 Hz), 5.78 (1 H, d, J = 1.4 Hz), 6.54 (1 H, s); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 178.15, 174.68, 111.24, 88.44, 86.45, 86.36, 84.14, 70.56, 59.60, 43.84, 38.02, 37.68, 26.93.

A solution of 5 mg (0.015 mmol) of epoxide, 10 mL of acetone, and 1 mL of Jones reagent was stirred for 5 min before being quenched with 2-propanol and filtered through a Celite pad. The Celite pad was washed with ethyl acetate, and the filtrate was dried over sodium sulfate. Filtration and removal of solvent provided 4.5 mg (92%) of synthetic bilobalide 1 which was spectroscopically identical (1 H, 13 C NMR, IR) to an authentic sample: 1 H (acetone-d⁶) δ 1.20 (s, 9 H), 2.32 (dd, J = 13.6, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.74 (dd, J = 13.6, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.80 (d, J = 18.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.02 (d, J = 18.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.63 (s, 1 H), 4.99 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.40 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.36 (s, 1 H), 6.38 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 1 H); 13 C (acetone-J6) δ 27.04, 36.87, 38.23, 43.19, 59.04, 66.43, 69.74, 83.79, 87.43, 100.45, 173.44, 173.63, 178.28.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation (CHE 9014641), the Petroleum Research Fund (23630), administered by the American Chemical Society, The National Institutes of Health (GM-38904), Rhone Poulenc, Inc., and the Randleigh Foundation for generous financial support of this work. M.T.C. thanks the A. P. Sloan Foundation for a fellowship. D.K.I. and J.L.G. thank the Department of Education for fellowships. We also thank Pierre Braquet and E. J. Corey for providing authentic samples of (-)-bilobalide for purposes of comparison.